SP 2 0 700 SP 2 0 700

PTO/SB/30 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 =
Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

REQUEST

# CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL

Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000, provides for continued examination of an utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995.

See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA).

lection of information unless it	displays a valid OMB control number.	
Application Number	470-961125	١
Filing Date	December 17, 1996	1
First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Anderton	et al.
Group Art Unit	1644	ł
Examiner Name	Patrick J. Nolan	
Attomey Docket Number	470-961125	'

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

MOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 a effective on May 22, 2000. If the above-identified application was filed prior to May 29, 2000, applicant may application on the major of th

Submission rec	quired under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114			
i. 🛛 Consí	/ submitted der the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § intered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered).	, ,	mber 21, 2000	
	der the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply	Brief previously filed on		
iii. U Other			RECEIVED SEP 2 5 2001	
b. L Enclosed		LICCIPEL		
i.			SED 9 5 0000	
ii. [ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) SEP 2			OLF 2 3 2007	
			TECH CENTER AND A	
iv. X Other Request for Reconsideration of Holding of Abandonment IECH CENT			TECH CENTER 1600/290	
2. Miscellaneous				
a. Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for				
a period of months. (Period of suspension shell not exceed 3 months: Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17() required)  b. X Other Petition for Extension of Time and Notice of Appeal				
	fee under 37 C F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 whe			
a. X The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 23–0650				
i. X RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)				
ii. X Extension of time fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 1,136 and 1,17)				
iii. Other				
b. X Checkin the amount of \$710, 310 & 1,890 enclosed				
C. Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed)				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED				
Name (Print / Type)	Barbara E. Johnson	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 3	31,198	
Signature	Barlow Cohm-	Date September 17, 20	001	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION				

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on:

Name (Print Type) Kimberly N. Welday Date September 17, 2001

Baden Nov. Statement: The form is estimated to take 12 hours so organized. Three off is say depending upon the needs of he individual case. Any comments on his located three individual cases are considered to the control of the con

09/21/2001 CNBUYEN 00000136 08716169 02 FC::179 710.00 GP





#### PATENT APPLICATION

Serial No. 08/716,169 Atty, Docket No. 470-961125

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit 1644

In re application of

Stephen M. ANDERTON et al.

Serial No. 08/716,169

Filed December 17, 1996

Examiner - Patrick J. Nolan

: PEPTIDE FRAGMENTS OF MICROBIAL

: STRESS PROTEINS AND : PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITION

: MADE THEREOF FOR THE TREATMENT · AND PREVENTION OF INFLAMMATORY

DISEASES

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania September 17, 2001

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

## BOX DAC

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Communication dated March 16, 2001, Applicants hereby submit the accompanying Petition for Extension of Time, Request for Continued Examination, and the following remarks.

### REMARKS

A timely response to the Communication dated March 16, 2001 is enclosed. This response substantively comprises the filing of a Request for Continued Examination

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on September 17, 2001

> > Barbara E. Johnson, Registration No. 31,198 (Name of Registered Representative)

(RCE), together with the following remarks. Entry of the unentered Amendment previously filed on December 21, 2000, and examination and allowance of claims 24-30 are requested.

In the Communication dated March 16, 2001, the Examiner maintained that the Amendment filed on December 21, 2000 cancelled all claims drawn to an elected invention, presented only claims drawn to a nonelected invention, and was nonresponsive. The Examiner asserted that the remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because they are drawn to a method while the originally elected invention resided in a product. This Communication also indicated, erroneously as described below, that this application has gone abandoned

Applicants have not received a Notice of Abandonment in this application, and believe that the present application has not gone abandoned. Applicants presented method claims in the December 21, 2000 Amendment in the belief that they better characterized the invention. Claim 24 is drawn to a method comprising the administration of the compound previously claimed in claim 23. All other newly presented claims are dependent from claim 24. It is therefore believed that examination of claims drawn to this method will not pose an undue or additional burden to consider. Applicants presented the amended claims in the belief that, according to MPEP 820, such a change does not constitute a shift and is not subject to the restriction requirement. Assuming, *arguendo*, that the change is a shift in invention, it is stated in MPEP 819.01 that such a shift is not precluded, and is permitted where the shift results in no additional work or expense, and particularly where the shift reduces work as by simplifying the issues.

Applicants therefore believe that this filing is responsive to the March 16, 2001

Communication and note that the response period for the March 16, 2001 Communication has

not expired. Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the holding of abandonment and

continue prosecution of the method claims as currently presented.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

Barbara E. Johnson

Registration No. 31,198 Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094